

United States Parent and Trademark Office



United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

1177119 141		***************************************		
	T	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		0623.1040001/EKS/PSC/TAC	3969
09/784,005	02/16/2001	Gavin Paul Vinson	0023.1040001/EKB/1 00/1110	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934			EXAMINER	
			MELLER, MICHAEL V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/784,005	WINCON ET AL
	1 33,73 1,000	VINSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
		1654
	Michael V. Meller appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	apposite on the cover sheet	
eriod for Reply	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	MONTH(S) FROM
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS Common The Mailing DATE OF THIS Common Services of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard part of the maximum statutory per Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the arind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	18 October 2002 .	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2b)		
2a) N This action is 1 it is	t for formal n	matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice and	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	ng in the application.	
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-7 and 13-25 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.	,
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-7, 13-25</u> is/are rejected.		
is/are objected to.	and/or election requirement	t.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	anu/or election requirement	
Application Papers	vaminer.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted of b) objected to	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objectio 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	on to the drawing(s) be field in is: a)☐ approved h)) disapproved by the Examiner.
The proposed drawing correction filed on	n is. a) 🗀 approves "	-/-
If approved corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to the office and	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	лие <u>сханше</u> н.	
440 and 120		S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f).
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 U.	1.0.0. 8 1 10(a) (a) or (i).
None of:		
	cuments have been receive	ea.
	ante have heen receive	ed III Application
3 Copies of the certified copies of t	the priority documents have	2(a))
application from the internation	in the soutified conie	ies not received.
liamedo of a claim for	domestic priority under oo s	0,0,0
a) The translation of the foreign langu 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	uage provisional application domestic priority under 35	n nas peen received. U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	il dolllooms burn	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.

 - (1) Field of the Invention. (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Art Unit: 1654

At a minimum, applicant must insert the heading "Brief description of the Drawings" or cancel the drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 13-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iwasaki et al. '98 (ref. AT3), Carter et al., Ohigashi et al., Kitamura et al., Tsuji et al. (ref. AT5), Yamaue et al., Iwasaki et al. '95 or Takahashi.

Applicant argues that because of the language "consisting essentialy of" that their claims are now free of the prior art of record but applicant is reminded of MPEP 2111.03:

For the purposes of searching for and applying prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, absent a clear indication in the specification or claims of what the basic and novel characteristics actually are, "consisting essentially of" will be construed as equivalent to "comprising." See, e.g., PPG, 156 F.3d at 1355, 48 USPQ2d at 1355 ("PPG could have defined the scope of the phrase consisting essentially of" for purposes of its patent by making clear in its specification what it regarded as constituting a material change in the basic and novel characteristics of the invention."). See also In re Janakirama-Rao, 317 F.2d 951, 954, 137 USPQ 893, 895-96 (CCPA 1963). If an applicant contends that additional steps or materials in the prior art are excluded by the recitation of "consisting essentially of," applicant has the burden of showing that the introduction of additional steps or components would materially change the characteristics of applicant's invention. In re De Lajarte, 337 F.2d

Art Unit: 1654

870, 143 USPQ 256 (CCPA 1964). See also Ex parte Hoffman, 12 USPQ2d 1061, 1063-64 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989) ("Although consisting essentially of is typically used and defined in the context of compositions of matter, we find nothing intrinsically wrong with the use of such language as a modifier of method steps. . . [rendering] the claim open only for the inclusion of steps which do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the claimed method. To determine the steps included versus excluded the claim must be read in light of the specification. . . . [I]t is an applicant's burden to establish that a step practiced in a prior art method is excluded from his claims by consisting essentially of language.").

Thus, the claims can be still read as "comprising". Thus, the references still read on the claims. It is also noted that applicant also adds in his composition therapeutically active agents and the like in addition to the angiotensin, see page 11, top, of the instant specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 13-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwasaki et al. '98 (ref. AT3), Carter et al., Ohigashi et al., Kitamura et al., Tsuji et al. (ref. AT5), Iwasaki et al. '95 or Takahashi in view of Yamaue et al.

The references teach the claimed invention for the reasons of record.

Applicant still argues about the limitation of "consisting essentialy of" and the above comments are reiterated herein.

The teachings of the references are of record. Since Yamaue teaches that lung cancer is treated by angiotensin, then it clearly would have been within the purview of the skilled artisan to treat someone suffering from lung cancer with angiotensin.

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 13-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaue et al.

The reference teaches the claimed invention for the reasons of record.

Applicant still argues about the limitation of "consisting essentialy of" and the above comments are reiterated herein.

The teachings of the reference are of record. Since Yamaue teaches that lung cancer is treated by angiotensin, then it clearly would have been within the purview of the skilled artisan to treat someone suffering from lung cancer with angiotensin.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4230. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 703-306-3220. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654

MVM December 20, 2002